

GROWTH AND YIELD OF CHICKPEA (*CICER ARIETINUM* L.) AS INFLUENCED BY GRADED LEVELS OF FERTILIZERS AND BIO-FERTILIZERS

DINESH KUMAR*, L. K. ARVADIYA, K. L. DESAI, V. P. USADADIYA AND A. M. PATEL

Department of Agronomy,

N.M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari - 396 450, INDIA

e-mail: dk.agro1991@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Bio-fertilizers
Chickpea
RDF (Recommended dose of Fertilizers)
Rhizobium
PSB

Received on :

11.12.2014

Accepted on :

26.02.2015

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

An experiment with three levels of fertilizers (100, 75 and 50% of RDF) and 4 levels of bio-fertilizers (B₁: Control B₂: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + PSB as soil application, B₃: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + VAM as soil application, B₄: *Rhizobium* and PSB both as seed treatment) was conducted to study the response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). 100% RDF recorded significantly highest plant height (42.33 cm), no. of branches plant⁻¹ (12.68), no. of nodules plant⁻¹ (31.10), dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹ (18.05 g), no. of pods plant⁻¹ (44.19), seed yield (1854 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (2722 kg ha⁻¹) over 75 and 50% RDF. Among bio-fertilizers, treatment B₄ recorded significantly higher plant height (42.11 cm), no. of nodules plant⁻¹ (30.16), no. of pods plant⁻¹ (44.01), seed yield (1824 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (2709 kg ha⁻¹) over control but found at par with B₃ and B₂. With respect to interaction effect it is interesting to note that the yield recorded with 75% RDF + B₄ found as good as the yield obtained with 100% RDF + B₄ indicates 25% saving of fertilizers. The use of bio-fertilizers with reduced quantity of chemical fertilizers is therefore recommended for better yield of chickpea.

INTRODUCTION

Among the grain legumes, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) commonly known as Bengal gram and locally Chana is an important and unique food legume because the variety of food products like snacks, sweets etc. Condiments and vegetables are prepared from it world-wide. It also consumed in the form of processed whole seed (boiled, roasted, parched, fried, steamed sprouted etc.) or as dal flour (besan). Gram is a good source of protein (18-22 per cent), carbohydrate (52-70 per cent), fat (4-10 per cent), minerals and vitamins. In India, it occupies about 9.18 million hectare area with production of 8.22 million tonnes and an average productivity of 900 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2013). In spite of the importance of this crop in our daily diet and in agricultural production, productivity of this crop is very low in India. Hence, it is very much essential to take the stock of the situation and search for new innovations which can enhance the productivity without causing much damage to the environment.

Greater scope for further improvement in yields of food grains in India is the strength for Indian agricultural science. Research needs to concentrate more on climate resilient agriculture safeguarding the natural resource base in order to make our future generations thrive on this living planet (Shetty *et al.*, 2014). The low production of chickpea crop is due to improper use of fertilizers and least importance given to bio-fertilizers such as *Rhizobium*, PSB and VAM fungi. Fertilizers like

nitrogen and phosphorus are the most important elements as well as expensive inputs in crop production. An adequate supply of chemical fertilizers is closely associated with growth and development of plant. In modern agriculture, chemical fertilizers are extensively used in order to increase yield.

Excessive use of these chemicals has adverse effect on the soil micro flora and fauna (Shetty *et al.*, 2013). *Rhizobium* inoculation can increase the grain yield of pulse crops to the tune of 10 to 15 per cent (Ali and Chandra, 1985). Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) have the consistent capacity to increase the availability of phosphates to plants by mineralizing organic phosphorus compounds (Parveen *et al.*, 2002). Additional inoculation with selected VAM strains, which are available as commercial products, often yields better growth promotion than indigenous VAM fungi populations (Salami *et al.*, 2005).

In India, because of existing intensive farming system, organic manures, which requires in bulk quantities, increased the transportation and labour charges and hence increased the cost of production. So, there is a need to find out alternative to bulky organic matter. Thus bio-fertilizers can serve as an alternative of bulky organic matter and NPK fertilizer upto certain extent. Therefore present study was conducted to investigate the effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.).

The paper deals with the effect of graded levels of fertilizers and bio-fertilizers and interaction effect of different treatments

on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted throughout *rabi* season of 2013-14 at the College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, India to study the effect of graded levels of fertilizers and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Soil of the experimental field was clayey in texture and showed low, medium and high rating for available nitrogen (197kg ha⁻¹), phosphorus (30 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (369 kg ha⁻¹), respectively. The soil was found slightly alkaline (pH 7.8) with normal electrical conductivity (0.36 dSm⁻¹). The experiment was conducted in factorial randomized block design with total 12 treatment combination consisting of 3 levels of fertilizers *viz.*, 100% RDF (F₁), 75% RDF (F₂) and 50% RDF (F₃) and 4 levels of bio-fertilizers *viz.*, Control (no bio-fertilizer) (B₁), *Rhizobium* as seed treatment and PSB as soil application (B₂), *Rhizobium* as seed treatment and VAM as soil application (B₃), and *Rhizobium* and PSB both as seed treatment (B₄) with 3 replications. Seed treatments of Bio-fertilizers for treatments B₂, B₃ and B₄ were applied to seeds prior to sowing and were dried in shade as prescribed by Uddin *et al.* 2014. For the soil application of bio-fertilizers (for treatments B₂ and B₃) required quantity of PSB culture for the experimental area was thoroughly mixed with sand and uniformly applied in furrows as per treatments before sowing. The viability count and microbial load of microorganisms used in this experiment are shown in Table 1.

Observation on plant height, branches plant⁻¹, nodules plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, pods plant⁻¹, seed index, seed yield and stover yield were recorded at different stages. The data pertaining to various growth stages and yield

Table 1: Viability count and microbial load of microorganisms used in the study

Bio-fertilizers	Viability count
<i>Rhizobium</i>	1 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
PSB	1 x 10 ⁸ CFU/mL
VAM	1.8 x 10 ⁴ IP/g

CFU/ml: Colony Forming Units per 1 ml of liquid, IP/g: Infective Propagules per gram

Table 2: Effect of fertilizer levels and bio-fertilizers on growth of chickpea

Treatments	Plant height		Branches plant ⁻¹		Nodules plant ⁻¹		DMA (g plant ⁻¹)	
	60 DAS	90 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	60 DAS	90DAS
Fertilizer levels								
F ₁	34.53	42.33	8.15	12.68	25.93	31.10	8.08	18.05
F ₂	30.91	39.25	7.26	11.56	24.90	28.94	7.24	16.71
F ₃	29.31	37.91	6.88	10.99	19.77	23.69	7.00	15.09
S $\bar{E}m \pm$	0.80	1.00	0.28	0.36	0.55	0.66	0.17	0.45
CD at 5%	2.36	2.96	0.82	1.08	1.62	1.95	0.52	1.33
Bio-fertilizers								
B ₁	29.22	37.11	6.60	10.62	18.55	22.47	6.87	15.31
B ₂	31.86	40.11	7.22	11.68	24.22	28.91	7.46	17.11
B ₃	31.38	40.00	7.86	12.02	25.04	30.08	7.42	16.44
B ₄	33.88	42.11	8.04	12.65	26.32	30.16	8.01	17.61
S $\bar{E}m \pm$	0.93	1.16	0.32	0.42	0.63	0.77	0.20	0.52
CD at 5%	2.73	3.41	0.95	1.25	1.87	2.25	0.60	1.53

F₁: 100% RDF, F₂: 75% RDF, F₃: 50% RDF, B₁: Control (no bio-fertilizer), B₂: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + PSB as soil application, B₃: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + VAM as soil application, B₄: *Rhizobium* and PSB both as seed treatment. DMA: Dry matter accumulation

were subjected to analysis of variance prescribed for factorial randomized block design as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of fertilizer levels

The growth and yield attributes like plant height, no. of branches plant⁻¹, no. of nodules plant⁻¹, dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹, no. of pods plant⁻¹ and seed index were progressively enhanced due to graded levels of fertilizers. An application of 100% RDF recorded significantly highest plant height (42.33 cm), no. of branches plant⁻¹ (12.68), no. of nodules plant⁻¹ (31.10), dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹ (18.05 g) (Table 2), no. of pods plant⁻¹ (44.19) and seed index in g (22.56) (Table 3). This kind of behaviour could be explained on the basis of role of N and P in plant body. Nitrogen being an essential part of nucleic acids and proteins which are very important in promoting the growth. Similarly at initial stage phosphorus helped on promoting root growth and better establishment of crop. Further as soil of experimental plot was low in available nitrogen and medium in available phosphorus, the higher doses also elicit significant crop response in terms of higher vegetative growth. The higher number of root nodules per plant were recorded with 100% RDF. This might be due to beneficial effect of phosphorus on root growth which provided more root surface for bacterial infection and enhance the nodulation. These all combined effects resulted in conducive for plant growth and development. 100% RDF recorded significantly highest seed yield (1854 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (2722 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 3) over 75 and 50% RDF. The treatment 100% RDF (F₁) increased the seed yield of chickpea in terms of kg ha⁻¹ to the tune of 6.80 and 25.87% over 75 and 50% RDF. This was largely be attributed to better growth of plants in terms of plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹ which resulted in adequate supply of photosynthates for development of sink under higher level of fertilizers. Positive response of crops in terms of growth, yield attributes and yield to levels of fertilizer has also been reported by Jat and Mali, (1992); Kasole *et al.* (1995); Dalal and Nandkar, (2010); Dalal and Nandkar, (2011) and Thenua and Sharma (2011).

Table 3: Effect of fertilizer levels and bio-fertilizers on yield and economics of chickpea

Treatments	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Seed index (g)	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stover yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Fertilizer levels				
F ₁	44.19	22.56	1854	2722
F ₂	41.16	20.79	1736	2520
F ₃	36.50	19.84	1473	2299
SEm ±	1.01	0.57	39.30	66.58
CD at 5%	2.98	1.69	115.28	195.29
Bio-fertilizers				
B ₁	34.48	19.07	1422	2177
B ₂	42.08	21.36	1749	2574
B ₃	41.90	21.62	1754	2595
B ₄	44.01	22.20	1824	2709
SEm ±	1.17	0.66	45.38	76.88
CD at 5%	3.44	1.95	133.11	225.51

F₁: 100% RDF, F₂: 75% RDF, F₃: 50% RDF, B₁: Control (no bio-fertilizer), B₂: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + PSB as soil application, B₃: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + VAM as soil application, B₄: *Rhizobium* and PSB both as seed treatment.

Table 4: Number of root nodules plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹ and seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of chickpea as influenced by interaction effects of levels of fertilizers and bio-fertilizers

Fertilizer levels (F)	Bio-fertilizers (B)			
	B ₁	B ₂	B ₃	B ₄
No. of root nodules plant⁻¹ 90 DAS				
F ₁	28.60	31.40	31.53	32.86
F ₂	24.56	29.93	30.93	30.33
F ₃	14.26	25.40	27.80	27.30
SEm ±	1.33			
CD at 5%	3.91			
No. of pods plant⁻¹ at harvest				
F ₁	41.66	43.60	43.63	47.86
F ₂	36.66	42.06	42.06	43.86
F ₃	25.13	40.60	40.00	40.30
SEm ±	2.03			
CD at 5%	5.97			
Seed yield (kg ha⁻¹)				
F ₁	1687	1905	1899	1924
F ₂	1573	1757	1703	1910
F ₃	1007	1586	1660	1639
SEm ±	78.60			
CD at 5%	230.56			

F₁: 100% RDF, F₂: 75% RDF, F₃: 50% RDF, B₁: Control (no bio-fertilizer), B₂: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + PSB as soil application, B₃: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment + VAM as soil application, B₄: *Rhizobium* and PSB both as seed treatment.

Effect of bio-fertilizers

The application of bio-fertilizers had shown marked influence on growth parameters (Table 2), yield attributes and yield (Table 3). Crop sown with treatment B₄: *Rhizobium* and PSB both as seed treatment recorded significantly higher plant height (42.11cm), no. of branches plant⁻¹ (12.65), no. of nodules plant⁻¹ (30.16), dry matter accumulation plant⁻¹ (17.61g), no. of pods plant⁻¹ (44.01), seed index in g (22.20), seed yield (1824kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (2709kg ha⁻¹) over control but found at par with B₃: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment and VAM as soil application and B₂: *Rhizobium* as seed treatment and PSB as soil application. The magnitude of increase in seed yield kg ha⁻¹ under B₄, B₃ and B₂ were 28.27, 23.35 and 23.00%, respectively over B₁ (No bio-fertilizer). This might be due to the inoculation of bio-fertilizers benefited the plant by providing atmospheric nitrogen and rendering the insoluble phosphorus into available form. The enhanced availability of phosphorus favored nitrogen fixation and rate of photosynthesis and consequently led to better plant growth. Use of PSB and VAM

increased surface area of roots which resulted in increased no. of root nodules. The increase in seed and stover yield was attributed to remarkable improvement in almost all the growth parameters and yield attributes under bio-fertilizer treatments. These results are in conformity with those reported by Mukherjee and Rai (2000); Shivakumar *et al.* (2004); Dalal and Nandkar (2010); Patel *et al.* (2013) and Tagore *et al.* (2013).

Interaction effect

Interaction effect between levels of fertilizers and bio-fertilizers were found to be significant in terms of number of root nodules plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹ and seed yield of chickpea (Table 4). However, significantly higher values for almost all the above characters were observed with treatment combination of F₁B₄ but it was at par with F₂B₄, F₂B₃, F₂B₂, F₁B₂ and F₁B₃, indicating saving of 25 percent of fertilizer through combined use of bio-fertilizers like *Rhizobium*, PSB or VAM. Similar findings were recorded by Prasad *et al.* (2014).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by Department of Agronomy, N.M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari and carried out under the direct supervision of Dr. L. K. Arvadiya, Associate professor (Agronomy), NAU, Navsari.

REFERENCES

- Ali, M. and Chandra, S. 1985.** *Rhizobium* inoculation of pulse crop. *Indian Farming*. **35(5)**: 22-25.
- Anonymous 2013.** Statistical year book, India 2013. Ministry of statistics and programme implementation. http://www.mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/upload/SYB2013/index1.html
- Dalal, N. P. and Nandkar, P. B. 2010.** Effect of NPK and biofertilizers on pigeon pea (*Cajanas cajan* L.). *The Bioscan*. **5(1)**: 171-172.
- Dalal, N. P. and Nandkar, P. B. 2011.** Effect of NPK fertilizers in relation to seed yield in *Brassica juncea* (L.) var. Pusa bold. *The Bioscan*. **6(1)**: 59-60.
- Jat, M. R. and Mali, A. L. 1992.** Effect of phosphorus and seedling rate on physiological parameters and yield of chickpea. *Indian J. Agron.* **37(1)**: 189-190.
- Kasole, K. E., Kalke, S. D., Kareppa, S. M. and Khade, K. K. 1995.** Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to different fertilizer levels, plant population and weed management on cultivators' field in north-eastern part of Kolhapur, Maharashtra. *Indian J. Agron.* **40(2)**: 217-219.
- Mukherjee, P. K. and Rai, R. K. 2000.** Effect of VAM and PSB on growth yield and phosphorus uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Indian J. Agron.* **45(3)**: 602-607.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1967.** Statistical methods for agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 187-197.
- Parveen, S., Sagir, M. K. and Almas, Z. 2002.** Effect of rhizospheric microorganisms on growth and yield of greengram. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.* **72(7)**: 421-423
- Patel, H. R., Patel, H. F., Maheriya, V. D. and Dodia, I. N. 2013.** Response of *kharif* greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) to sulphur and phosphorus fertilization with and without biofertilizer application. *The Bioscan*. **8(1)**: 149-152.
- Prasad, S. K., Singh, M. K. and Singh, J. 2014.** Response of *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus levels on mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) under guava based agri-horti system. *The Bioscan*. **9(2)**: 557-560.
- Salami, A., Olusola, O. and Nnenna, I. 2005.** An investigation of the impact of *Glomus clarum* (mycorrhiza) on the growth of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) on both sterilized and non-sterilized soils. *Archives of Agron. and Soil Sci.* **51**: 579-588.
- Shetty, P. K., Hiremath, M. B. and Murugan, M. 2013.** Status of organic farming in agro ecosystems in India. *Indian J. Science and Technology*. **6(8)**: 5083-6088.
- Shetty, P. K., Manorama, K., Murugan, M. and Hiremath, M. B. 2014.** Innovations that shaped Indian agriculture then and now. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*. **7(8)**: 1176-1182.
- Shivakumar, B. G., Balloli, S. S. and Saraf, C. S. 2004.** Effect of sources and levels of phosphorus with and without seed inoculation on the performance of rainfed chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series*. **25(2)**: 320-326.
- Tagore, G. S., Namdeo, S. L., Sharma, S. K. and Kumar, N. 2013.** Effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing bacterial inoculants on symbiotic traits, nodule leghemoglobin, and yield of chickpea genotypes. *International J. Agron.*, Volume 2013, Article ID 581627, p. 8.
- Thenua, O. V. S. and Sharma, R. K. 2011.** Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on productivity and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series*. **32(3&4)**: 116-119.
- Uddin, M., Hussain, S., Khan, M. M. A., Hashmi, N., Idress, M., Naeem, M. and Dar, T. A. 2014.** Use of N and P bio-fertilizer reduces inorganic phosphorus application and increases nutrient uptake, yield and seed quality of chickpea. *Turk J. Agric. For.* **38**: 47-54.